IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

Δ	D	TT	IC	Т	Δ	\mathbf{C}	Λ	N	1	Ι.	Ιí	\sim
\neg	IJ.	Jι			\neg	\ ./	┑	ıv				ι.

v. NO. 6:10-cv-329-LED

AMAZON.COM, INC.; ET AL. JURY

PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO MACALLY AND MACE GROUP'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff AdjustaCam LLC ("AdjustaCam") answers the counterclaims (Dkt No. 330) of Defendants Macally Peripherals, Inc. d/b/a Macally U.S.A. and Mace Group, Inc. (collectively "Macally"), by corresponding paragraph number, as follows:

- 1-2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted as to subject matter jurisdiction and venue over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.
- 4-5. Admitted.
- 6. Denied.
- 7. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.
- 8. AdjustaCam's answers to paragraphs 1-7 are incorporated herein.
- 9. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.
- 10-11. Denied.
- 12. Denied this is an exceptional case from the perspective of Macally being entitled to any such finding. Admitted that this is an exceptional case from the perspective of AdjustaCam obtaining such a finding against Macally.

13. AdjustaCam's answers to paragraphs 1-12 are incorporated herein.

14. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction

over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.

15-16. Denied.

17. Denied this is an exceptional case from the perspective of Macally being entitled to any

such finding. Admitted that this is an exceptional case from the perspective of AdjustaCam

obtaining such a finding against Macally.

18. To the extent necessary, AdjustaCam denies that Macally is entitled to the relief

requested in its prayer for relief. In addition, to the extent necessary, AdjustaCam generally

denies any allegation in the counterclaims not specifically admitted above, and AdjustaCam re-

alleges infringement, enforceability, validity and damages, and denies any allegations in the

counterclaim adverse to same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, AdjustaCam respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment

denying and dismissing Macally's counterclaims, and that the Court enter judgment in favor of

AdjustaCam as requested in AdjustaCam's complaint, as amended or supplemented.

December 8, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

ADJUSTACAM LLC

By: <u>/s/ John J. Edmonds</u>

John J. Edmonds – LEAD COUNSEL

Texas Bar No. 789758

Andrew P. Tower

Texas Bar No. 786291

Michael J. Collins

Texas Bar No. 4614510

Henry M. Pogorzelski

Texas Bar No. 24007852

COLLINS, EDMONDS & POGORZELSKI,

PLLC

1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 125 Houston, Texas 77057 Telephone: (281) 501-3425 Facsimile: (832) 415-2535 jedmonds@cepiplaw.com atower@cepiplaw.com mcollins@cepiplaw.com hpogorzelski@cepiplaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF ADJUSTACAM LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

December 8, 2010 /s/ John J. Edmonds
John J. Edmonds